



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,964	03/20/2000	Donald Bernard Bivens	FL-1065	6749
7590 01/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark A Edwards			HARDEE, JOHN R	
E I Du Pont De Nemours and Company Legal - Patents			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1007 Market Street			1751	
Wilmington, DE 19898			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
09/528,964	BIVENS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit					
John R Hardee	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she Period for Reply	eet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, rafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen	nt.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objecte						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S. a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S. since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first s	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ecification or in an Application Data Sheet.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in a	.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
Attachment(s)						
	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: .					

Application/Control Number: 09/528,964

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 9-25480.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9-25480. The claims are obvious because they are anticipated. Anticipation is the epitome of obviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/03473 A1 for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art references do not teach that the disclosed compositions are "azeotrope-like". This is not persuasive because the disclosed compositions meet the limitations of the claims, or overlap substantially with the claimed limitations. In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside

Application/Control Number: 09/528,964

Art Unit: 1751

ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

The Office does no have the facilities to determine pressure and boiling point characteristics. If applicant can demonstrate that the prior art compositions do not (102) and cannot (103) meet the recitation of "azeotrope like", this should be done via affidavit. Attorney arguments cannot take the place of evidence.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (571) 272-1316.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John R. Hardee

Primary Examiner

December 19, 2003